arXiv:math/0610924v1 [math.FA] 30 Oct 2006

On duality of spaces of harmonic vector fields

René Dáger[*]and Arturo Presa[†]

Abstract

A differential form u of class C^∞ on the Riemannian manifold X is said to be harmonic if it is closed and co-closed, i.e.,

$$du = 0, \qquad \delta u = 0.$$

Harmonic differential forms are a natural multi-dimensional extension of the concept of analytic function of complex variable. In this paper we characterize continuous linear functionals acting of the space of germs of harmonic differential forms on a compact set. This result provides a multi-dimensional analog of a theorem by G. Köthe on the dual of the space of germs of analytic functions of complex variables on a compact.

1 Introduction

A vector field $\bar{u}$ defined in an open set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is said to be harmonic if it satisfies the equations

$$\operatorname{rot} \bar{u} = 0, \qquad \operatorname{div} \bar{u} = 0$$

in $\mathcal{O}$.

The analogy between harmonic vector fields and analytic functions is explained by the fact that both objects are particular cases of the concept of harmonic differential form (see Introduction in [7]). In this work a differential form u of class C^∞ on the Riemannian manifold X is said to harmonic if it is closed and co-closed, i.e.,

$$du = 0, \qquad \delta u = 0.$$

---

[*] Departamento de Fundamentos Matemáticos, ETSIA, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Plaza Cardenal Cisneros 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain (rene.dager@upm.es).

[†] Mathematics Department, Miami Dade College, Wolfson Campus, Miami, FL33132-2296, USA (apresa@mdc.edu).

It was proved by Koëthe ([5]) that the dual space of the space $h(K)$ of germs of analytic functions on a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ with the inductive limit topology is isomorphic to the space of analytic functions on the complementary of K that vanish at infinity, that is

$$(h(K))^* = h_0(K^c).$$

One example of the significance of this fact is the proof given by Havin of a formula analogous to the Laurent series expansion for analytic functions vanishing at infinity with singularities concentrated in a compact set.

Thus, it is natural to study the problem of the description of the dual of the space of germs of harmonic vector fields. It turns out that the space that provides the answer to this question is not precisely the space of harmonic vector fields on K^c that vanish at infinity. Hence a second problem arises: to find a space whose dual is isomorphic to $h_0(K^c)$.

In this paper both questions above are studied in a more general context, namely, for harmonic differential forms on an Euclidean space of finite dimension. The main results for the case $n = 3$ were announced in [2].

The spaces that solve the mentioned problems are spaces of certain classes of holomorphic pairs, i.e., non-homogeneous differential forms $w_{r-1} + w_{r+1}$, where $dw_{r-1} + \delta w_{r+1} = 0, \delta w_{r-1} = 0, dw_{r+1} = 0$. Such forms where introduced by Gustaffson and Havinson [3] in connection with the characterization of annihilators of harmonic forms on a smoothly bounded domain. For $n = 3$ and $r = 1$ holomorphic pairs coincide with holomorphic vector fields.

As an application of our results we prove in Section 5.B that every harmonic form defined on the complementary of a compact set may be represented as the sum of a differential and a codifferential of suitable forms.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Some spaces of differential forms and linear functionals

Throughout this paper E denotes an oriented Euclidean space of dimension $n \geq 2$. The symbol $\bigwedge^r E$ denotes the space of r-covectors on E. Let also for $r = 0$, $\bigwedge^0 E = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\bigwedge\nolimits^* E = \bigoplus\nolimits_{k=0}^{n} \bigwedge\nolimits^r E.$$

In $\bigwedge^* E$ an exterior product is introduced that turns this space into an algebra. The main properties of this algebra may be found in [4]. The $*$ operator (the Hodge conjugate) acting on r-covectors is understood in the sense of [4]. In that reference a suitable notion of scalar product of r-covectors may be also found.

A. Here we gather some facts on harmonic differential forms. First, recall the definition of the operator δ:

$$\delta u := (-1)^{nr+r+1} * d * u,$$

where u is an r-form of class C^1, $*$ is the Hodge conjugate operator and d is the differential of forms.

Below we define some spaces of differential forms that we shall use in this paper.

Let $H_r(\mathcal{O})$ denote the space of differential forms u of degree r and of class C^1 in $\mathcal{O}$ and such that

$$du = 0, \qquad \delta u = 0$$

in $\mathcal{O}$. The elements of $H_r(\mathcal{O})$ are called *harmonic differential forms of degree* r *defined in* $\mathcal{O}$.

Let $Wh_r(\mathcal{O})$ be the space of differential forms of degree r and of class C^2 in $\mathcal{O}$ such that

$$\Delta u := -(d\delta + \delta d)u = 0$$

in $\mathcal{O}$. The forms belonging to $Wh_r(\mathcal{O})$ are called *weakly harmonic forms defined in* $\mathcal{O}$.

The space $P_r(\mathcal{O})$ for $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ consists of non-homogeneous forms $u = w_{r-1} + w_{r+1}$, where w_{r-1} and w_{r+1} are forms of degree $r-1$ and $r+1$, respectively, which are of class C^1 in the open set $\mathcal{O}$ and such that the following equations are valid in $\mathcal{O}$:

$$dw_{r-1} + \delta w_{r+1} = 0, \quad dw_{r+1} = 0, \quad \delta w_{r-1} = 0.$$

The forms belonging to $P_r(\mathcal{O})$ are called *holomorphic pairs corresponding to* r *defined in* $\mathcal{O}$.

By means of $Wh(\mathcal{O})$ we denote the direct sum $\bigoplus_{r=0}^{n} Wh_r(\mathcal{O})$. Clearly, $H_r(\mathcal{O}) \subset Wh_r(\mathcal{O})$ and $P_r(\mathcal{O}) \subset Wh(\mathcal{O})$.

In each of the mentioned spaces the uniform convergence topologies are considered. In $H_r(\mathcal{O})$, for instance, this topology is obtained from the system of neighborhoods of null

$$\{V(K,\varepsilon) : \ K \text{ compact and } K \subset \mathcal{O}, \varepsilon > 0\}$$

where $V(K,\varepsilon) := \{u \in H_r(\mathcal{O}) : \max_K \|u(x)\| < \varepsilon\}$. Here $\|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u\rangle}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product in $\bigwedge^r E$. The same happens with the spaces $Wh_r(\mathcal{O})$ and $P_r(\mathcal{O})$.

Let $H_{r,0}(E \setminus K)$ denotes, for a compact set K, the subspace of $H_r(E \setminus K)$ whose elements vanish at infinity. In the same way it is defined $P_{r,0}(E \setminus K)$ as a subspace of $P_r(E \setminus K)$.

Moreover, $H_r(K), Wh_r(K), Wh(K)$ and $P_r(K)$ represent the corresponding inductive limits spaces. In order to avoid repetitions let us see more precisely just the definition of $H_r(K)$. The elements of $H_r(K)$ are equivalence classes in $\cup H_r(\mathcal{O})$, where the union is taken over all the open sets $\mathcal{O}$ containing K. Two elements of $\cup H_r(\mathcal{O})$ are said to be equivalent if they coincide in some open set containing K.

For each open $\mathcal{O} \supset K$ let $\rho_{\mathcal{O}} : H_r(\mathcal{O}) \to H_r(K)$ be the map such that $\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(u)$ is the equivalence class of u. The inductive limit topology is the finest topology in $H_r(K)$ such that all the maps $\rho_{\mathcal{O}}$ are continuous.

If $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$ and $u \in H_r(\mathcal{O}_2)$ then $\rho_{\mathcal{O}_2}(u) = \rho_{\mathcal{O}_1}(u|_{\mathcal{O}_1})$. In some cases, we shall write simply $\rho(u)$ instead of $\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(u)$.

Similar maps corresponding to spaces $Wh_r(K), Wh(K)$ and $P_r(K)$ will be denoted by also by $\rho_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Note that all the spaces $H_r(K), Wh_r(K), Wh(K)$ and $P_r(K)$ are locally convex.

We now introduce two more spaces taking in consideration in $P_{r,0}(E \setminus K)$ and $P_r(K)$ the closed subspaces formed by the elements of the form $dh_1 + \delta h_2$ and $\rho\left(dh_1 + \delta h_2\right)$, respectively, where h_1 is an $(r-2)$-form and h_2 is an $(r+2)$-form, both of class C^2 and satisfying

$$\delta d h_1 = 0, \quad d\delta h_2 = 0$$

in their respective domains of definition. When $r = 1$ we take $h_1 = 0$ and when $r = n-1$ we take $h_2 = 0$. Thus, the considered spaces are the corresponding quotient spaces, which will be denoted by $\bar{P}_{r,0}(E \setminus K)$ and $\bar{P}_r(K)$, respectively. Both these spaces are provided with the natural quotient topology.

The main goal of this paper is to prove the following assertions:

1) $\left(H_r(K)\right)^*$ is isomorphic to $\bar{P}_{r,0}(E \setminus K)$;

2) $\left(\bar{P}_r(K)\right)^*$ is isomorphic to $H_{r,0}(E \setminus K)$.

As it may be seen through this paper the first assertion plays an important roll in the formulation of the second one.

Both 1) and 2) are the generalization of the results concerning the dual of the space of analytic functions on a planar compact set (see [5]) to higher dimensions.

B. It is easy to see that $P_r(K)$ is continuously imbedded in $Wh_{r-1}(K) + Wh_{r+1}(K)$. Indeed, from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P_r(K) \arrow[r, "i_1"] & Wh_{r-1}(K) + Wh_{r+1}(K) \\
P_r(\mathcal{O}) \arrow[r, "i_2"] \arrow[u, "\rho_{\mathcal{O}}"] & Wh_{r-1}(\mathcal{O}) + Wh_{r+1}(\mathcal{O}) \arrow[u, "\rho_{\mathcal{O}}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i_1, i_2 are the canonical imbeddings and $\mathcal{O}$ is an open set containing K, it follows the continuity of i_1.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the subspace of $Wh_{r-1}(K) + Wh_{r+1}(K)$ whose elements are of the form $\rho\,(dh_1 + \delta h_2)$, where h_1, h_2 are differential forms such that $dh_1 \in Wh_{r-1}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\delta h_2 \in Wh_{r+1}(\mathcal{O})$ for some open $\mathcal{O}$ containing K. If $r = 1$ or $r = n-1$ the space $\mathcal{N}$ just consists of the elements of the form $\rho\,(\delta h_2)$ or $\rho\,(dh_1)$, respectively. Then, it is clear that $P_r(K) \cap \mathcal{N}$ is a subspace of $P_r(K)$, $\bar{P}_r(K) = P_r(K) \diagup (P_r(K) \cap \mathcal{N})$ is continuously imbedded in $(Wh_{r-1}(K) + Wh_{r+1}(K)) \diagup \mathcal{N}$. Note that this latter space is locally convex.

C. The linear functionals on certain spaces of differential forms admit to be exteriorly multiplied by differential forms from those spaces. Let us consider those spaces.

In this subsection the topology of the space does not play any roll. Let $\chi\,(\mathcal{O})$ be linear space of nonhomogeneous differential forms on a set $\mathcal{O}$ (not necessarily open). Assume that $\chi\,(\mathcal{O})$ satisfies the conditions

$$\text{if } u \in \chi\,(\mathcal{O}) \text{ then } *u \in \chi\,(\mathcal{O}) \text{ and } u \wedge \xi \in \chi\,(\mathcal{O}) \text{ for each } \xi \in \bigwedge\nolimits^{*} E. \qquad (1)$$

If $\chi\,(\mathcal{O})$ satisfies (1) it is valid that $\chi\,(\mathcal{O}) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{n} \chi_r\,(\mathcal{O})$, where

$$\chi_r\,(\mathcal{O}) = \left\{u \in \chi\,(\mathcal{O}) : \operatorname{Im} u \subset \bigwedge\nolimits^{r} E\right\}.$$

Thus, if Λ is a linear functional on $\chi\,(\mathcal{O})$ then $\Lambda = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \Lambda_r$, where Λ_r is a linear functional that vanishes on each subspace $\chi_s\,(\mathcal{O})$ with $s \neq r$.

Clearly, each linear functional on $\chi_r\,(\mathcal{O})$ may be identified with one such Λ_r.

The exterior product $\Lambda \wedge u$ may defined for every linear functional Λ on $\chi\,(\mathcal{O})$ and every form $u \in \chi\,(\mathcal{O})$ as a bilinear map with values in $\bigwedge^{*} E$, such that, if $\Lambda = \Lambda_r$ and $u \in \chi_s\,(\mathcal{O})$ then $\Lambda \wedge u$ is the $(r+s)$-covector that satisfies

$$\langle \Lambda_r \wedge u, \xi \rangle = (-1)^{nr+n}\, \Lambda_r\,(*\,(u \wedge *\xi))$$

for every $\xi \in \bigwedge^{r+s} E$.

When $u \in \chi_0\,(\mathcal{O})$ the product $\Lambda_r \wedge u$ will be denoted by $\Lambda_r u$.

On the other hand, for each linear functional Λ on $\chi\,(\mathcal{O})$ it is possible to define $*\Lambda$ by the equality

$$*\Lambda := \sum_{r=0}^{n} *\Lambda_r,$$

where $*\Lambda_r$ is the linear functional on $\chi\,(\mathcal{O})$ such that $*\Lambda_r(u) = (-1)^{nr+r}\, \Lambda_r\,(*u)$.

The following properties follow from the definition:

1) $\Lambda_r(u) = *\,(\Lambda_r\,(*u))$ for every $u \in \chi\,(\mathcal{O})$.

2) $\Lambda \wedge (u \wedge \xi) = (\Lambda \wedge u) \wedge \xi$ for every linear functional Λ on $\chi\,(\mathcal{O})$, $u \in \chi\,(\mathcal{O})$ and $\xi \in \bigwedge^{*} E$.

3) $*\,(\Lambda_r\,(*u)) = (-1)^{nr+r} *\,(*\Lambda_r \wedge u)$ for each $u \in \chi_r\,(\mathcal{O})$.

One example of space satisfying (1) is $Wh(\mathcal{O})$. Thus, linear functionals on $Wh(\mathcal{O})$ satisfy the equalities 1), 2) and 3).

D. Each linear functional Λ_r admits a coordinate expression. Let $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ be an orthonormal basis in E and $e^1, \cdots, e^n$ its dual basis.. Then there exist linear functionals $\Lambda_{0,\alpha}$ on $Wh_0(\mathcal{O})$ (the space of harmonic functions on $\mathcal{O}$) such that

$$\Lambda_r = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_r} \Lambda_{0,\alpha} e^{\alpha},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_r$ is the set of all increasing multi-indices $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r)$ with $\alpha_i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$. The last equality means that

$$\Lambda_r(u) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_r} \Lambda_{0,\alpha}(u_\alpha) \quad \text{for every } u = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_r} u_\alpha e_\alpha \in \chi_r(\mathcal{O}).$$

In fact, to obtained the above given coordinate decomposition it is enough to define $\Lambda_{0,\alpha}(f) := \Lambda_r(f e_\alpha)$ for every $f \in \chi_0(\mathcal{O})$. Now it is clear that

$$\Lambda_r \wedge u = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_r, \beta \in \mathcal{M}_s} \Lambda_{0,\alpha}(u_\beta) e^{\alpha} \wedge e^{\beta}$$

for each $u \in \chi_s(\mathcal{O})$. Note that this formula is similar to the coordinate expression for the exterior product of differential forms. In particular, if $f \in \chi_0(\mathcal{O})$ we have

$$\Lambda_r f := \Lambda_r \wedge f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_r} \Lambda_{0,\alpha}(f) e^{\alpha}.$$

3 Currents, covectorial charges and Newtonian potential of currents.

In [7] it is given a more complete treatment of the facts in this section. We include here only the most significant details we use in this paper.

3.1 Exterior product of a current and a form

Let $\mathcal{D}_r$ denote the space of infinitely differentiable differential forms of degree r with compact support. Let $\mathcal{D}'_r$ denote the space of currents of degree $n-r$ and dimension r. The symbol $\mathcal{E}'_r$ will be use to represent the subspace of currents of $\mathcal{D}'_r$ with compact support.

For each current $T \in \mathcal{D}'_r$ the symbols $dT, *T, \delta T$ and ΔT denote currents belonging to $\mathcal{D}'_{r-1}, \mathcal{D}'_{n-r}, \mathcal{D}'_{r+1}$ and $\mathcal{D}'_r$, respectively (see [8] for more details).

Following [8] we define the exterior product $T \wedge u$ of a current $T \in \mathcal{E}'_r$ and an infinitely differentiable s-form u defined in a neighborhood of the support of T as a current in $\mathcal{D}_{r-s}$ satisfying

$$T \wedge u[\omega] = T[u \wedge \omega]$$

for $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{r-s}$.

3.2 Currents determined by a surface

Now we define two special kinds of currents that will be used in the following sections. With any smooth oriented hypersurface $\mathcal{S}$ in E (i.e., an $(n-1)$-dimensional oriented C^1-submanifold of E) we associate the $(n-1)$-dimensional current, denoted again by $\mathcal{S}$, given by the formula

$$\mathcal{S}[\omega] := * \int_{\mathcal{S}} \left(\bar{N} \wedge \omega\right) dS$$

for $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$, where S is the $(n-1)$-dimensional measure on $\mathcal{S}$ and $\bar{N}$ is the field of unitary normal vectors to $\mathcal{S}$ that determines its orientation.

Moreover, every Lebesgue measurable subset A of $\mathcal{S}$ gives rise to an n -dimensional current χ_A

$$A[\omega] := \int c_A \omega = \int_A \omega = * \int_A \omega d\nu,$$

where ν is the Lebesgue measure in E. More details on the integration of differential forms with respect to a measure are found in [7].

3.3 Currents determined by a measure

Let μ is an r-covector valued Borel charge in E (briefly, $\mu \in M^r$). For each $\mu \in M^r$ with compact support in an open set $\mathcal{O}$ in E and a continuous differential form of degree s defined in $\mathcal{O}$ it is possible to define $\int d\mu \wedge u$ as limit of Riemann sums $\sum \mu\left(A_k\right) \wedge u\left(\xi_k\right)$, where A_k are Borel sets and $\xi_k \in A_k$, as $\max\left(\text{diam } A_k\right)$ tends to zero. In this case, $\int d\mu \wedge u$ exists and is an $(r+s)$-covector. It is obvious that if u is a continuous s-form such that $\int d\mu \wedge u = 0$ for every $\mu \in M^{n-s}$ then $u = 0$.

Also, with $\mu \in M^r$ we can associate a current, denoted again by μ, such that

$$\mu\left[\omega\right] := * \int d\mu \wedge \omega, \tag{2}$$

with $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{n-r}$.

If $\mu \in M^r$ and supp μ is compact then the current μ defined by (2) belongs to $\mathcal{E}'_{n-r}$.

3.4 Newtonian potential of a current

The Newtonian potential of a current $T \in \mathcal{E}'_r$ is defined by the formula

$$U^T[\varphi] := T[U^\varphi], \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_r,$$

where U^φ is the Newtonian potential of φ. From this definition follows that $U^T \in \mathcal{D}'_r$ (see [7], 2.1.A and 2.1.B for more details).

Under the given assumptions on T the current U^T coincides with a differential form of class C^∞ outside of the support of T. Hence, if $T_1 \in \mathcal{E}'_r$, $T_2 \in \mathcal{E}'_{n-r}$ and supp $T_1 \cap$supp $T_2 = \varnothing$, both $T_1\left[U^{T_2}\right]$ and $T_2\left[U^{T_1}\right]$ have sense and it holds the reprocity law

$$T_1\left[U^{T_2}\right] = (-1)^{nr+r}\, T_2\left[U^{T_1}\right].$$

4 Cauchy-Green representation formulas for harmonic forms and holomorphic pairs

The Cauchy-Green formulas for harmonic forms and holomorphic pairs may be considered as generalizations of the classical Cauchy formula for analytic functions. As in the classic case, these formulas play an important roll in the description of linear functionals on the space of germs of harmonic forms on a compact in an Euclidean space.

4.1 Cauchy-Green representation formulas for harmonic forms

Let u be an r-form harmonic in some open $\mathcal{O} \subset E$ and $K \subset E$ be a regular compact (i.e., K is a smooth compact manifold with boundary ∂K).

Assuming that ∂K is oriented by means of the exterior normal field the following formula is true

$$u = -\frac{1}{c_c}\left(\delta U^{\partial K \wedge u} + \gamma_r d U^{*(\partial K \wedge * u)}\right) \tag{3}$$

in $\overset{\circ}{K}$, where $\gamma_r := (-1)^{nr+n+1}$ and c_n is the product of the $(n-1)$-dimensional measure of the sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $n-2$ (see [7]).

This formula may be rewritten as follows

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{c_n}\left\{\delta_x \int_{\partial K} \frac{\bar{N}(y) \wedge u(y)}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} dS(y) + \gamma_r d_x * \int_{\partial K} \frac{\bar{N}(y) \wedge * u(y)}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} dS(y).\right\} \tag{4}$$

Remark 1 *If K is a regular compact such that $E \setminus K \subset \mathcal{O}$ and u is a harmonic in $\mathcal{O}$ r-form that vanishes at infinity, then a formula similar to (4), which differs just in the sign, is valid for u in $E \setminus K$. The proof of this fact is similar to the classical one for analytic functions of complex variable.*

4.2 Cauchy-Green representation formulas for holomorphic pairs

Let $w = w_{r-1} + w_{r+1}$ be a holomorphic pair defined some open $\mathcal{O} \subset E$ and $K \subset E$ be a regular compact, then

$$w_{r+1} = -\frac{1}{c_n}\left\{\delta U^{\partial K \wedge w_{r+1}} + \gamma_{r+1} dU^{*(\partial K \wedge * w_{r+1})} + dU^{\partial K \wedge w_{r-1}}\right\}, \tag{5}$$

$$w_{r-1} = -\frac{1}{c_n}\left\{\delta U^{\partial K \wedge w_{r-1}} + \gamma_{r-1} dU^{*(\partial K \wedge * w_{r-1})} + \gamma_{r+1}\delta U^{*(\partial K \wedge w_{r+1})}\right\}, \tag{6}$$

in $\overset{\circ}{K}$. The proof of this formula is similar to the proof of (3) given in [7].

As in the case of harmonic forms, these formulas may be written in terms of integrals. Moreover, if $E \setminus K \subset \mathcal{O}$ and w is an holomorphic pair defined in $\mathcal{O}$ vanishing at infinity, then w may be represented in $E \setminus K$ by a formula that differes from (5-6) just by the sign.

5 Dual space of $H_r(K)$

In this section we provide a description of the continuous linear functionals on $H_r(K)$.

Theorem 2 *Let K be a compact set and $\Lambda : H_r(K) \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\Lambda \in (H_r(K))^*$ if and only if there exists a holomorphic pair $w = w_{r-1} + w_{r+1} \in P_{0,r}(E \setminus K)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda\left(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(u)\right) = &-\frac{1}{c_n}\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(w_{r+1} \wedge *\left(\bar{N} \wedge u\right)\right) dS \\ &+\frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{c_n}\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(w_{r-1} \wedge \left(\bar{N} \wedge *u\right)\right) dS \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for every open neiborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of K, any form $u \in H_r(\mathcal{O})$ and any regular compact K_1 satisfying $K \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_1 \subset K_1 \subset \mathcal{O}$. Moreover, for every $\Lambda \in (H_r(K))^*$ all the pairs w with this property belongs to the same class in $\bar{P}_{0,r}(E \setminus K)$.

Lemma 3 *Let Ω be an open set and $u \in H_r(\Omega), w_{r-1} + w_{r+1} \in P_r(\Omega)$. Then for every regular compact $L \subset \Omega$*

$$-\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(w_{r+1} \wedge *\left(\bar{N} \wedge u\right)\right) dS + (-1)^{r+1}\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(w_{r-1} \wedge \left(\bar{N} \wedge *u\right)\right) dS = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the Gauss-Ostrogradskii formula (see [7])

$$\int_L *\left(du\right) dv = \int_{\partial L} *\left(\bar{N} \wedge u\right) dS.$$

The left member of the equality asserted in the lemma may be transformed in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(w_{r+1}\wedge *\left(\bar{N}\wedge u\right)\right) dS+(-1)^{r+1}\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(w_{r-1}\wedge\left(\bar{N}\wedge *u\right)\right) dS\\
&=-\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(\bar{N}\wedge u\wedge *w_{r+1}\right) dS-\gamma_r\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(\bar{N}\wedge *u\wedge w_{r-1}\right) dS\\
&=-\int_L *d\left(u\wedge *w_{r+1}\right) dv-\gamma_r\int_L *d\left(*u\wedge w_{r-1}\right) dv.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u\in H_r(\Omega)$ and $w_{r-1}+w_{r+1}\in P_r(\Omega)$ we have that $d\left(u\wedge *w_{r+1}\right)+\gamma_r d\left(*u\wedge w_{r-1}\right)=0$. So, the lemma is proved. ■

Proof of the theorem. First part. We shall prove here that formula (7) defines a continuous linear functional on $H_r(K)$. At first, let us see that Λ is well defined. The right hand member of (7) depends only on $\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(u)$. The independence on u and K_1 is deduced from the Lemma 3.

Let us note that the right hand term of (7) depends just on the class whose representative element is $w_{r-1}+w_{r+1}$ and not on the particular representative element. It is due to the fact that, if we consider $w_{r-1}=dh_1$ and $w_{r+1}=\delta h_2$ (see the definition of $\bar{P}_{0,r}(E\setminus K)$) it follows from the Stokes formula that the integral in (7) vanishes.

Obviously, Λ is linear. In order to prove that Λ is continuous it is enough to show that $\Lambda\circ\rho_{\mathcal{O}}$ is continuous for every open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of K.

Let K_1 be a regular compact such that $K\subset\overset{\circ}{K}_1\subset K_1\subset\mathcal{O}$, then

$$|\Lambda\left(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(u)\right)|=\left|\Lambda\left(\rho_{\overset{\circ}{K}_1}(u)\right)\right|\leq C\max_{x\in\partial K_1}|u(x)|, \tag{8}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of u. To obtain inequality (8) we have used the fact that

$$|\xi\wedge\eta|\leq\binom{r+s}{r}|\xi|\,|\eta|$$

for $\xi\in\bigwedge^r E$ and $\eta\in\bigwedge^s E$ (see, e.g., [4]).

From (8) it follows the continuity of $\Lambda\circ\rho_{\mathcal{O}}$ and thus the continuity of Λ.

Second part. Let us show now that every $\Lambda\in\left(H_r(K)\right)^*$ may be represented by (7) for some $w_{r-1}+w_{r+1}\in P_{0,r}(E\setminus K)$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open neiborhood of K, $u\in H(\mathcal{O})$ and K_1 a regular compact satisfying $K\subset\overset{\circ}{K}_1\subset K_1\subset\mathcal{O}$. Using the Cauchy-Green formula (4) we have that $u(x)=u_1(x)+u_2(x)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1(x)&=-\frac{1}{c_n}\delta_x\int_{\partial K_1}\frac{\bar{N}(y)\wedge u(y)}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}dS(y)\\
&=-\frac{\gamma_{r+1}}{c_n}\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(d_x\frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}\wedge *\left(\bar{N}(y)\wedge u(y)\right)\right) dS(y),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(x) &= -\frac{\gamma_r}{c_n} d_x * \int_{\partial K} \frac{\bar{N}(y) \wedge *u(y)}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} dS(y) \\ &= -\frac{\gamma_r}{c_n} \int_{\partial K} \left(d_x \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \wedge * \left(\bar{N}(y) \wedge *u(y) \right) \right) dS(y). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $H_r(K)$ is continuously imbedded in $Wh_r(K)$, for each $\Lambda \in (H_r(K))^*$ there exists a functional $\Lambda' \in (Wh_r(K))^*$ that extends Λ.

Due to the reasons given above and to the continuity of $\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}$ on $Wh_r(\mathring{K}_1)$ it is valid that $\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}$ commutes with the integral sign. Thus, using the properties 1) and 2) from subsection 2.1 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}(u_1) &= -\frac{\gamma_{r+1}}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left\{ \Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge * * \left(d_x \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \wedge * \left(\bar{N}(y) \wedge u(y) \right) \right) \right\} dS(y) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^r}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left\{ \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge d_x \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) \wedge * \left(\bar{N}(y) \wedge u(y) \right) \right\} dS(y). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let us remark that

$$d_x \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} = -d_y \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}$$

and that, because of the continuity of $\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}$, the form $\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}$ (depending on y) is of class C^1. Thus it can be proved that

$$\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge d_x \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} = -\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge d_y \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} = (-1)^{r+1} d_y \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right). \tag{10}$$

Indeed, the last equality if verified as follows. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{r+1}$ with supp $\varphi \subset E \smallsetminus K$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \left\langle d_y \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right), \varphi(y) \right\rangle dv(y) \\ &= \int \left\langle \Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}, \delta\varphi(y) \right\rangle dv(y) \\ &= (-1)^{r+1} \int \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge d_y \left(\frac{*\varphi(y)}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) \right) dv(y) \\ &+ (-1)^r \int \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge d_y \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \wedge *\varphi(y) \right) dv(y) = \\ &= (-1)^{r+1} * \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge \int d_y \left(\frac{*\varphi(y)}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) dv(y) \right) \\ &+ (-1)^r \int \left\langle \Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge d_y \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}, \varphi(y) \right\rangle dv(y) = \\ &= (-1)^r \int \left\langle \Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge d_y \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}, \varphi(y) \right\rangle dv(y). \end{aligned}$$

In the last two equalities we have used the facts that $\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}$ is continuous and that

$$\int d_y \left(\frac{*\varphi(y)}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) dv(y) = 0$$

for every $x \notin \operatorname{supp} \varphi$.

Thus, relations (9) and (10) imply that

$$\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}(u_1) = -\frac{1}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left(w_{r+1} \wedge * \left(\bar{N} \wedge u \right) \right) dS,$$

where

$$w_{r+1} = d_y \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}(u_2) &= \Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}(u_2) \\
&= -\frac{\gamma_r}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left\{ \Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge * \left(d_x \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \wedge * \left(\bar{N}(y) \wedge *u(y) \right) \right) \right\} dS(y) \\
&= -\frac{\gamma_r}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left\{ *\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge ** \left(d_x \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \wedge * \left(\bar{N}(y) \wedge *u(y) \right) \right) \right\} dS(y) \\
&= -\frac{1}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left\{ d_y * \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) \wedge * \left(\bar{N}(y) \wedge *u(y) \right) \right\} dS(y) \\
&= -\frac{1}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left\{ *d_y * \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) \wedge ** \left(\bar{N}(y) \wedge *u(y) \right) \right\} dS(y) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left(w_{r-1}(y) \wedge \left(\bar{N}(y) \wedge *u(y) \right) \right) dS(y),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_{r-1} = \delta_y \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right).$$

Here we have use the property 1) of subsection 2.1, too.

Thus, the equality (7) is proved. Now it is easy to see that $w = w_{r-1} + w_{r+1} \in P_{0,r}(E \setminus K)$. In fact,

$$dw_{r-1} + \delta w_{r+1} = \Delta \left(\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) = 0$$

in $E \setminus K$. To show this last equality it is enough to express the form $\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}$ in coordinates in an orthonormal basis of E. The coefficients of the forms obtained in this way are harmonic functions in $E \setminus K$.

It just remains to prove that $w_{r-1}(y) \to 0$ and $w_{r+1}(y) \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$ in the norm of $\bigwedge^r E$. Clearly, this is equivalent to $\langle w_{r-1}(y), \xi \rangle \to 0$ and

$\langle w_{r+1}(y), \xi \rangle \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$ for every $\xi \in \bigwedge^r E$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle w_{r+1}(y), \xi \rangle &= (-1)^r \left\langle \Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge d_y \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}, \xi \right\rangle \\ &= \pm \Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \left(* \left(d_x \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \wedge * \xi \right) \right).\end{aligned}$$

The continuity of $\Lambda' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}$ implies that the last term in the last equality tends to zero as $y \to \infty$. The proof for w_{r-1} is completely analogous.

Let us prove now that Λ depends only on the class of $P_{0,r}(E \setminus K)$ with representative element $w = w_{r-1} + w_{r+1}$ and not on this particular element. It is enough to show that the periods of both $*w_{r+1}$ and w_{r-1} are uniquely determined by the functional Λ. More precisely, we prove the equalities

$$\int_{\lambda_1} *w_{r+1} = \Lambda \left(\rho_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\delta U^{\lambda_1} \right) \right), \quad \int_{\lambda_2} w_{r-1} = \Lambda \left(\rho_{\mathcal{O}} \left(d * U^{\lambda_2} \right) \right), \tag{11}$$

for every $(n-r-1)$-dimensional cycle λ_1 and every $(r-1)$-dimensional cycle λ_2 contained all in $E \setminus K$. Under assumed conditions, both δU^{λ_1} and $d * U^{\lambda_2}$ are harmonic forms in some neighborhood of K (see Pr. 3 in [7]). Since these forms are locally integrable they generate currents belonging to $\mathcal{E}'_r$.

Let us prove the first equality (11). By replacing $u = \delta U^{\lambda_1}$ in formula (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda \left(\rho_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\delta U^{\lambda_1} \right) \right) = &-\frac{1}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left(w_{r+1} \wedge * \left(\bar{N} \wedge \delta U^{\lambda_1} \right) \right) dS \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left(w_{r-1} \wedge \left(\bar{N} \wedge * \delta U^{\lambda_1} \right) \right) dS,\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where K_1 is a regular compact such that $K \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_1$ and $K_1 \cap$ supp $\lambda_1 = \varnothing$.

Let us denote by I the first integral in (12) and by II the second one. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{(-1)^{nr+n+r}}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left(* w_{r+1} \wedge \bar{N} \wedge \delta U^{\lambda_1} \right) dS \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{nr+1}}{c_n} \int_{\partial K_1} * \left(\bar{N} \wedge * w_{r+1} \wedge \delta U^{\lambda_1} \right) dS \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{nr+1}}{c_n} \left(\partial K_1 \wedge * w_{r+1} \right) \left[\delta U^{\lambda_1} \right].\end{aligned}$$

Here $\partial K_1 \wedge * w_{r+1}$ is understood a current belonging to $\mathcal{E}'_r$ and thus the above last term has sense. Now, using the properties given in 2.A and 2.D we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{(-1)^{nr+n+r+1}}{c_n}\delta\left(\partial K_1 \wedge * w_{r+1}\right)\left[U^{\lambda_1}\right] = \frac{1}{c_n}\lambda_1\left[U^{\delta(\partial K_1 \wedge * w_{r+1})}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{c_n}\lambda_1\left[\delta U^{\partial K_1 \wedge * w_{r+1}}\right] = \frac{\gamma_{r+1}}{c_n}\lambda_1\left[* dU^{*(\partial K_1 \wedge * w_{r+1})}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

By means of similar transformations we may obtain

$$II = \frac{1}{c_n}\lambda_1\left[* dU^{\partial K_1 \wedge * w_{r-1}}\right].$$

Hence, the first of the equalities (11) is proved. The proof of the second equality is similar.

Let us remark that the first equality (11) implies that w_{r+1} is uniquely determined up to one term of the form δh_2 where h_2 is an $(r+2)$-form such that $d\delta h_2 = 0$. When $r = n-1$ the above condition simply says that w_{r+1} is uniquely determined. On the other hand, the second equality (11) implies that w_{r-1} is determined up to one term of the form dh_1, where h_1 is an $(r-2)$-form such that $\delta d h_1 = 0$. If $r = 1$, simply w_{r-1} is uniquely determined.

Therefore, the proof of the theorem is complete. ■

6 Construction of a space whose dual is the space of harmonic differential forms

The results of the previous section allow us to conjecture about which is the dual space of $H_{r,0}(E \setminus K)$. It seems natural that this space be $\bar{P}_r(K)$. In this section we verify that this fact is indeed true. More precisely, let $\bar{P}_r(K)$ be endowed with the topology induced by that of $P_r(K)$. Then

Theorem 4 *Let K be a compact set and $\Lambda : \bar{P}_r(K) \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\Lambda \in \left(\bar{P}_r(K)\right)^*$ if and only if there exists a form $u \in H_{r,0}(E \setminus K)$ such that*

$$\Lambda\left(\overline{\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w)}\right) = \frac{(-1)^{nr+r+1}}{c_n}\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(w_{r+1} \wedge *\left(\bar{N} \wedge u\right)\right) dS \tag{13}$$

$$+\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{c_n}\int_{\partial K_1} *\left(w_{r-1} \wedge \left(\bar{N} \wedge * u\right)\right) dS \tag{14}$$

for every open neiborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of K, any par $w = w_{r-1} + w_{r+1} \in P_r(\mathcal{O})$ and any regular compact K_1 satisfying $K \subset \mathring{K}_1 \subset K_1 \subset \mathcal{O}$.

Proof. First part. In this part it is verified that formula (14) defines a linear and continuous functional on $\bar{P}_r(K)$.

Let us see first that Λ given by (14) is well defined. In view of Lemma 3, the expression (14) does not depend neither on the particular choice of the germ $\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w)$ nor on the compact K_1. To understand that Λ does not depend on the particular germ $\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w)$ and just on the equivalence class that it defines it is enough to see that the right hand term in (14) vanishes when $\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w) = \rho_{\mathcal{O}}(dh_1 + \delta h_2)$, where h_1 is an $(r-2)$-form and h_2 an $(r+2)$-form, both of class C^2 in a neighborhood of K and satisfying the equations $d\delta h_1 = 0$, $\delta d h_2 = 0$ in their domains of definition. In fact, it is true due to the fact that both integrals in (14) may be written in the form

$$\int_{\partial K_1} \left(\bar{N} \wedge d\psi\right) dS,$$

where ψ is an $(r-2)$-form, smooth in a neighborhood of ∂K_1. Now it suffices to observe that, in view of the Stokes formula, the latter integral vanishes.

The linearity of Λ is immediate. The continuity of Λ is equivalent to the continuity of all the functionals $\Lambda \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}$ for every open $\mathcal{O}$ containing K. We have that

$$\left|\Lambda\left(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w)\right)\right| \leq C_1 \max_{x \in \partial K_1} \left|w_{r-1}(x)\right| + C_2 \max_{x \in \partial K_1} \left|w_{r+1}(x)\right|,$$

for every regular compact K_1 satisfying $K \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_1 \subset K_1 \subset \mathcal{O}$.

Second part. Let us now prove that for each linear functional $\Lambda \in \left(\bar{P}_r(K)\right)^*$ there exists a form $u \in H_{r,0}(E \setminus K)$ that represents Λ according to formula (14).

Let $\Lambda \in \left(\bar{P}_r(K)\right)^*$. Since $\bar{P}_r(K)$ is continuously imbedded in

$$\left(Wh_{r-1}(K) + Wh_{r+1}(K)\right) \diagup \mathcal{N}$$

and the latter space is locally convex, there exists

$$\Lambda' \in \left(\left(Wh_{r-1}(K) + Wh_{r+1}(K)\right) \diagup \mathcal{N}\right)^*$$

that extends Λ and then,

$$\Lambda'' \in \left(\left(Wh_{r-1}(K) + Wh_{r+1}(K)\right)\right)^*$$

defined by the equality

$$\Lambda''(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w)) = \Lambda'(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w))$$

extends Λ to

$$\left(Wh_{r-1}(K) + Wh_{r+1}(K)\right).$$

By definition

$$\Lambda''(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(dh_1 + \delta h_2)) = 0$$

for all forms h_1, h_2, where $h_1$is an $(r-2)$-form and h_2 an $(r+2)$-form, both defined in $\mathcal{O}$ and satisfying $\delta d h_1 = 0, d\delta h_2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}$. When $r = 1$ or $r = n-1$, we take $h_1 = 0$ or $h_2 = 0$, respectively.

Let w is represented by formulas (5)-(6). Thus, in view of the equality

$$\gamma_{r-1} dU^{*(\partial K_1 \wedge * w_{r-1})} + \delta U^{\partial K_1 \wedge w_{r+1}} = 0,$$

we have

$$c_n \Lambda\left(\overline{\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w)}\right) = -\Lambda'' \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\delta U^{\partial K_1 \wedge w_{r-1}} + \right. \tag{15}$$

$$\left. + \gamma_{r+1} dU^{*(\partial K_1 \wedge * w_{r+1})} + \gamma_{r+1} \delta U^{*(\partial K_1 \wedge w_{r+1})} + dU^{\partial K_1 \wedge w_{r-1}} \right).$$

Since

$$\Lambda'' \in \left((Wh_{r-1}(K) + Wh_{r+1}(K))\right)^*,$$

then there exist

$$\Lambda_{r-1} \in \left(Wh_{r-1}(K)\right)^*, \qquad \Lambda_{r+1} \in \left(Wh_{r+1}(K)\right)^*$$

such that

$$\Lambda''(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w_{r-1} + w_{r+1})) = \Lambda_{r-1}(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w_{r-1})) + \Lambda_{r+1}(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w_{r+1})). \tag{16}$$

Obviously,

$$\Lambda_{r-1}(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(dh_1)) = 0, \quad \Lambda_{r+1}(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(\delta h_2)) \tag{17}$$

if $h_1$is an $(r-2)$-form and h_2 an $(r+2)$-form, both defined in $\mathcal{O}$ and satisfying $\delta d h_1 = 0, d\delta h_2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}$.

From (15)-(16) we have that

$$c_n \Lambda\left(\overline{\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w)}\right) = -\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\delta U^{\partial K_1 \wedge w_{r-1}} + \gamma_{r+1} dU^{*(\partial K_1 \wedge * w_{r+1})}\right)$$
$$-\Lambda_{r+1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\gamma_{r+1} \delta U^{*(\partial K_1 \wedge w_{r+1})} + dU^{\partial K_1 \wedge w_{r-1}}\right).$$

Using transformations similar to those done in the proof of Theorem 2 we obtain that

$$c_n \Lambda\left(\overline{\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w)}\right) = (-1)^{n+1} * \int_{\partial K_1} \left(u \wedge \left(\bar{N} \wedge * w_{r+1} + (-1)^{r+1} * \left(\bar{N} \wedge w_{r-1}\right)\right)\right) dS, \tag{18}$$

where

$$u(y) = d_y \left(\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}\right) + \delta_y \left(\Lambda_{r+1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}}\right)$$

(here $\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\Lambda_{r+1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}}$ act on form depending on x).

Thus, (14) follows from (18). Let us prove that in fact $u \in H_{r,0}(E \setminus K)$. As in the proof of Theorem 2 we have that

$$\Delta_y \left(\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) = 0, \quad \Delta_y \left(\Lambda_{r+1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) = 0$$

for $y \in E \setminus K$. Thus, for $y \in E \setminus K$

$$du(x) = d\delta \left(\Lambda_{r+1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) = \delta d \left(\Lambda_{r+1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right)$$

and

$$\delta u(x) = \delta d \left(\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) = d\delta \left(\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right).$$

Consequently, it just remains to prove that, for $y \in E \setminus K$

$$\delta \left(\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) = 0, \quad d \left(\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) = 0. \tag{19}$$

Let us prove the first equality, the second one being similar. Let ξ be an $(r-2)$-covector then, from (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \delta \left(\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right), \xi \right\rangle &= \pm * \left(*\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge d_y \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \wedge *\xi \right) \\ &= \pm * \left(*\Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge d_y \left(\frac{*\xi}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) \right) \\ &= \pm \Lambda_{r-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{O}} \left(d_x \frac{*\xi}{\|x-y\|^{n-2}} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $y \in E \setminus K$ Therefore, the first equality (19) is proved. From the definition of u and the continuity of Λ_{r-1} and Λ_{r+1} we have that $u(y) \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$.

Now it just remains to verify that u is unique. Let μ be an r-covectorial Borelian charge with support in $E \setminus K$. Then, if we define $w^{\mu}_{r-1} = \delta U^{\mu}$, $w^{\mu}_{r+1} = dU^{\mu}$ we have $w^{\mu} = w^{\mu}_{r-1} + w\mu_{r+1} \in P_r(E \setminus \text{supp } \mu)$. Consequently, substituting in (14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda \left(\overline{\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w^{\mu})} \right) = \frac{(-1)^{n+r+1}}{c_n} & \int_{\partial K_1} * \left(dU^{\mu} \wedge * \left(\bar{N} \wedge u \right) \right) dS \\ + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{c_n} & \int_{\partial K_1} * \left(\delta U^{\mu} \wedge \left(\bar{N} \wedge *u \right) \right) dS. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as in the proof of equality (11) we may proof that

$$\Lambda \left(\overline{\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(w^{\mu})} \right) = (-1)^{n+r+1} \mu \left[* \left(\delta U^{\partial K_1 \wedge u} + \gamma_r dU^{*(\partial K_1 \wedge *u)} \right) \right] = (-1)^{n+r+1} \mu \left[*u \right].$$

Therefore, from 2.C if $\Lambda = 0$ then $u = 0$. The proof of the theorem is complete. ■

6.1 A representation formula for harmonic forms vanishing at the infinity

As an example of application of the previous results we obtain the representation formula:

Corollary 5 *If* $u \in H_{r,0}(E \smallsetminus K)$ *then there exist* $u_1 \in Wh_{r-1}(E \smallsetminus K)$ *and* $u_2 \in Wh_{r+1}(E \smallsetminus K)$ *vanishing at infinity such that* $\delta u_1 = 0$*,* $du_2 = 0$ *and*

$$u = du_1 + \delta u_2. \tag{20}$$

Proof. For every $u \in H_{r,0}(E \smallsetminus K)$ there exists a functional $\Lambda \in \left(\bar{P}_r(K)\right)^*$ according to (14). The second part of the proof of Theorem 4 ensures the existence of a unique form in $H_{r,0}(E \smallsetminus K)$ corresponding to Λ, which in addition admits a representation like (20). Then, both forms must coincide. This proves the assertion. ■

7 The vectorial case

For $n = 3$, $r = 1$, $H_1(\mathcal{O})$ may be identified with $h(\mathcal{O})$ by means of the correspondence $u_1 e^1 + u_2 e^2 + u_3 e^3 \longleftrightarrow (u_1, u_2, u_3)$. Also, $P_1(\mathcal{O})$ may be identified with the space $p(\mathcal{O})$ of holomorphic vector fields by through the correspondence $w_0 + w_2 \longleftrightarrow (f, \bar{v})$, where $f = w_0$ and $\bar{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ such that $*w_2 = v_1 e^1 + v_2 e^2 + v_3 e^3$. According to these correspondences the subspace $\mathcal{N} \cap P_1(\mathcal{O})$ may be identified with the subspace of $p(\mathcal{O})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N}' := \{(0, \operatorname{grad} h) : \Delta h = 0 \ \text{ in } \mathcal{O}\}.$$

Now it is clear that

$$\bar{P}_{1,0}(E \smallsetminus K) \cong \bar{p}_0(E \smallsetminus K) = p_0(E \smallsetminus K) \diagup \mathcal{N}'.$$

Similarly, $\bar{p}(K)$ may be identified with $\bar{P}_1(K)$.

Thus, considering the appropriate topologies we obtain:

Theorem 6 *Let* K *be a compact set in* $\mathbb{R}^3$*. Then*

1) $\Lambda \in (h(K))^*$ *if and only if there exists* $(f, \bar{v}) \in p_0(E \smallsetminus K)$ *such that*

$$\Lambda\left(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(\bar{u})\right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\partial K_1} \left\langle \bar{v}, \bar{N} \times \bar{u} \right\rangle dS + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\partial K_1} \left\langle \bar{N}, f\bar{u} \right\rangle dS$$

for every open neiborhood $\mathcal{O}$ *of* K*, any vector field* $\bar{u} \in h(\mathcal{O})$ *and any regular compact* K_1 *satisfying* $K \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_1 \subset K_1 \subset \mathcal{O}$*. Moreover, for every* $\Lambda \in (H_r(K))^*$ *all the pairs* $(f, \bar{v})$ *with this property belongs to the same class in* $\bar{p}_0(E \smallsetminus K)$*.*

2) $\Lambda \in (\bar{p}(K))^*$ *if and only if there exists* $\bar{u} \in h_0(E \setminus K)$ *such that*

$$\Lambda\left(\rho_{\mathcal{O}}\left(f, \bar{v}\right)\right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\partial K_1}\left\langle \bar{v}, \bar{N} \times \bar{u}\right\rangle dS + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\partial K_1}\left\langle \bar{N}, f\bar{u}\right\rangle dS$$

for every open neiborhood $\mathcal{O}$ *of* K*, any pair* $(f, \bar{v}) \in p(\mathcal{O})$ *and any regular compact* K_1 *satisfying* $K \subset \overset{\circ}{K_1} \subset K_1 \subset \mathcal{O}$*. Moreover, for a given* $\Lambda \in (\bar{p}(K))^*$ *there exists a unique* $\bar{u} \in h_0(E \setminus K)$ *with this property.*

Finally, Corollary 5 may be reformulated as follows.

Corollary 7 *If* $\bar{u} \in h_0(E \setminus K)$ *then there exists a scalar function* f *and a vector field* $\bar{v}$*, vanishing both at infinity, such that* $\Delta f = 0$*,* $\Delta \bar{v} = \bar{0}$*,* $\operatorname{div} \bar{v} = 0$ *and*

$$\bar{u} = \operatorname{grad} f + \operatorname{rot} \bar{v} \qquad \text{in } E \setminus K.$$

Acknowledgement

We would like to express our gratitude to Victor Petrovich Havin for communicating us the problems solved here.

References

[1] A. Cialdea, The Brothers Riesz theorem for conjugate differential forms, App. Anal., 65 (1977), pp. 69-94.

[2] R. Dáger, A. Presa, Duality of the space of germs of harmonic vector fields on a compact, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 343 (2006), pp. 19–22.

[3] B. Gustafsson, D. Khavinson, On annihilators of harmonic vector fields, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 232 (1996), Issled. po Linein. Oper. i Teor. Funktsii. 24, pp. 90–108; English transl. in J. Math. Sci. (New York) 92 (1998), no. 1, pp. 3600–3612.

[4] H. Federer, Geometric measure theory, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 153, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969

[5] G. Köthe, Dualität in der Funktionentheorie, J. Reine Angew Math. 191 (1953), pp. 30–49.

[6] E. Malinnikova, Measures orthogonal to the gradients of harmonic functions. Complex analysis and dynamical systems, Contemp. Math., 364, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 181–192.

[7] A. Presa Sague, V. Khavin, Uniform approximation by harmonic differential forms on Euclidean space, Algebra i Analiz 7 (1995), no. 6, pp. 104–152; English transl. in St. Petersburg Math. J. 7 (1996), no. 6, pp. 943–977.

[8] G. de Rham, Differentiable manifolds. Forms, currents, harmonic forms, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.